

## REMARKS

In the Response to Rule 312 Communication dated **December 7, 2006**; it was indicated that a substitute Oath needed to be submitted since the present Oath was not signed. However, a signed Oath was submitted with the Response to the Notice of Missing Parts that was filed on **July 24, 2004** for the subject application.

On **December 15, 2006**; the Private PAIR system was checked and it did have a copy of the signed Oath of record for the subject application. However, in the Image File Wrapper section of Private PAIR associated with the subject application, at least some of the documents listed in the Document Description column were not properly associated with the check off boxes of the Start Download column. When the check off box in the Start Download column was selected for at least some of the items in the Document Description column, the document that was actually downloaded was the next document listed in the Document Description rather than the document that was listed in the same row as the check off box that was checked in the Start Download column.

On **December 18, 2006**, the Private PAIR system was rechecked again. At the time Private PAIR was checked, all of the documents prior to **January 24, 2004** that were associated with the subject application were no longer listed in the Image File Wrapper section of Private PAIR.

Although a signed Oath was filed with the Response to the Notice of Missing Parts that was filed on **July 24, 2004**, a copy of the signed Oath is being resubmitted herewith in case the copy of the signed Oath that was previously submitted is missing.

If there are any questions concerning this communication, please direct any inquiries to Jay Beyer at (303) 499-3859.

Respectfully submitted,  
/Jay R Beyer/  
Jay R Beyer  
Reg. No. 39,907